UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,208	03/30/2004	Anthony Aue	M61.12-0630	5138
	7590 04/09/200 HAMPLIN (MICROSC	8 DFT CORPORATION)	EXAM	IINER
SUITE 1400			GODBOLD, DOUGLAS	
	900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	10/813,208	AUE ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD	2626		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>DOUGLAS C. GODBOLD</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Leanne Taveggia Farrell</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Menezes et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed what she percieved to be the differences between the claim and the cited prior art. The Examiner stated that this would be considered after arguments were filed.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner			
	Examiner's signature, if requi			